

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/716,641 | 11/18/2003 | Joseph C. Eder | 2024730-7033212001 7680 03-168 | |
| 7590 11/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Bingham McCuthen, LLP | | | SOOHOO, TONY GLEN | |
| Suite 1800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Three Embarcad | | | | |
| San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 | | | 1723 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| if |
|----|
|----|

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/716,641 | EDER ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Tony G. Soohoo | 1723 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-18 | -2003. | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| · | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | (-) -: (-) | : | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No. | | | | | |
| | • • | · | Stage | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 222 and animal defined defined action to a new or animal depined flow reconstant | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | ; | | | | |
| Potent and Trademay Office | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/716,641 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 2,3 and 25-26, drawn to a mixing and dispensing apparatus with a threaded dispensing piston, classified in class 366, subclass 190.
 - II. Claims 4, 21-23, 27, and 42-44, drawn to a mixing and dispensing apparatus with a patient delivery tube and cooling, classified in class 604, subclass 38.
 - III. Claims 5-9, 32-34, drawn to a mixing and dispensing apparatus with a mixing disc and/or blades, classified in class 366, subclass 194.
 - IV. Claims 10-15, 35-40, drawn to a mixing and dispensing apparatus with a sensor, classified in class 366, subclass 150.1.
 - V. Claims 16-18, 46-48, drawn to a mixing and dispensing apparatus with a with a rod stop, classified in class 366, subclass 332.
 - VI. Claims 19-20, 28-31, and 41, drawn to a mixing and dispensing apparatus with a barrier or valve, classified in class 366, subclass 167.1.
- 2. Claims 1, 24, or 45 link(s) inventions each of Groups I through VI as described above. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1, 24, and 45. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of

Application/Control Number: 10/716,641 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Each Inventions of Groups I, II, III, IV, V, V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of each Group has separate utility such as evidenced by the linking claim. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Group(s) is not required for the other of the respective Group(s), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species election

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. Species of invention A shown in Figures 1A-2.
- B. Species of invention B shown in Figure 3.
- C. Species of invention C shown in Figure 4.
- D. Species of invention D shown in Figures 5A-5B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 and 24 and 45 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 1723

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

6. A telephone call was made to David Burse on Nov 15, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made so as to provide applicants a fully timely period of review and response of the requirement presented by the Examiner.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony G. Soohoo whose telephone number is (571) 272 1147. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5PM, Tue-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,641 Page 6

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tony G Soohoo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723